

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 CHARLES V. EARNEST,
11 Plaintiff(s),
12 vs.
13 SETERUS, INC., et al.,
14 Defendant(s).

) Case No. 2:17-cv-02065-RFB-NJK
)
) ORDER
) (Docket No. 9)
)
)
)
)

15
16 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 9. The parties seek
17 a discovery period 185 days beyond the presumptively reasonable discovery period based on (1) their
18 need to assess the required experts and (2) tailor their discovery to new case law that addresses "HOA
19 super-lien issues." *Id.* at 2. The parties do not submit why assessing experts or addressing case law
20 from the Federal Courts and the Nevada Supreme Court will affect discovery so as to more than double
21 the presumptive period of time for discovery. Local Rule 26-1(b).

22 Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** without prejudice the parties' proposed discovery plan and
23 scheduling order. Docket No. 9. The parties shall file a renewed joint proposed discovery plan and
24 scheduling order that complies with the Court's local rules, no later than October 27, 2017.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: October 23, 2017

27
28 

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge